



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

NAMED INVENTOR I ATTORNEY POCKET NO	NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NA 004426.P001 Р RAJE 09/26/00 09/669,594

TM02/0717

BLAKLEY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP SEVENTH FLOOR 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD LOS ANGELES CA 90025

EXAMINER BASHORE, W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2176

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/669,594

Applicant(s)

Prasad Raje

'Office Action Summary

Examiner

William L. Bashore

Art Unit 2176



-1

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period fo	• •			
THE N	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
afte - If the be - If NO cor - Failure	er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in munication. to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed sation. a, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
ear	ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s manning case of this communication, cross it times, many made any		
Status	D			
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 4, 20			
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ✓ This act	tion is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particles.	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-101</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-101</u>	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement		
Applicat	ion Papers			
· · ·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re objected to by the Examiner.		
	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam			
13)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p $A(x) \cap A(x) \cap A(x)$ All b) $A(x) \cap A(x)$ Some* c) $A(x) \cap A(x)$	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
1	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received.		
2	$2.\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No		
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure te the attached detailed Office action for a list of th			
14)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachme				
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
· -	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2	20) Other:		

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: original application filed on 9/26/2000, with provisional filing date of 9/30/1999. IDS filed on 5/4/2001.

2. Claims 1-101 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 19, 28, 36, 43, 76, 81, 89 are independent claims.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: Method, Apparatus, And System For Enabling Creation And Maintenance Of Internet Form Related CGI Scripts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 6, 36, 43-44, 57-59, 76-80, 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (hereinafter Wang), U.S. Patent No. 5,875,332 issued February 1999.

In regard to independent claim 1, Wang teaches:

- receiving a form from a user (Wang column 4 lines 42-49; compare with claim 1 "receiving a form from a user").

Art Unit: 2176

- allowing a user to configure a set of actions for submission (Wang column 4 lines 43-50, 55-61; compare with claim 1 "allowing said user to configure a set of actions to be performed in processing a submission of said form, comprising:").

- parsing a form to extract form elements along with associated logic (Wang column 4 lines 54-67, column 5 lines 1-10; compare with claim 1 "parsing said form to extract specifications of form elements contained in said form").

- Wang does not specifically teach presenting to a user an interface for a user to input specification. However, this limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of Wang, because Wang teaches that a developer need only to write an input form and a stored procedure (Wang column 2 lines 29-33; compare with claim 1 "presenting said user with a user interface....processing said submission of said form"), suggesting the creation of said form and procedure by a user via an interface, and providing the advantage of an interface to create and submit necessary items.

- creation of a CGI module for obtaining specification for said set of actions (Wang column 1 lines 45-52; compare with claim 1 "obtaining specification for said set of actions from said user").

- customization of a CGI module adapted to a configuration structure of a stored procedure (Wang column 1 lines 44-45, column 4 lines 40-45; compare with claim 1 "generating a configuration structure....actions to be performed.").

In regard to dependent claims 2, 3, 6, Wang teaches generation of a customized CGI program (Wang column 2 lines 30-35; compare with claim 2). Wang teaches web access to databases via CGI interfaces (Wang column 1 lines 13-17; compare with claim 3). Wang teaches a customized CGI module consistent with a stored procedure and an input stream (Wang column 2 lines 29-38; compare with claim 6).

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to independent claim 36, Wang teaches:

- receiving a form, said form configured with a set of actions for submission, and parsing a form to

extract form elements along with associated logic (Wang column 4 lines 42-49, 55-67, column 5 lines 1-10;

compare with claim 36 "a first module to parse a first form...elements contained in the first form").

- creation and customization of a CGI module for obtaining specification from a user for a set of

actions, said customization of a CGI module adapted to a configuration structure of a stored procedure

(Wang column 1 lines 45-52, column 4 lines 40-45; compare with claim 36 "a second module to obtain from

the first author....program for the first form.").

- Wang does not specifically teach configuring a program. However, this limitation would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of Wang, because Wang teaches

CGI to customize a stored procedure, which suggests customization of a program, and providing the

advantage of CGI customization (Wang column 1 lines 40-59; compare with claim 36 "configure a first

program").

In regard to dependent claims 39-42, Wang teaches a CGI module for extracting logic (functions)

from a file, and from a stored procedure, and Wang teaches a customized CGI module consistent with a

stored procedure and an input stream (Wang column 2 lines 29-38, column 4 lines 50-67; compare with

claims 39-42).

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to independent claim 43, Wang teaches:

- receiving a submitted form from a user from another site (Wang column 4 lines 42-49; compare with claim 43 "allowing a first author....said allowing comprising", and "receiving the first form at a first server").

- parsing a form to extract form elements along with associated logic (Wang column 4 lines 54-67, column 5 lines 1-10; compare with claim 43 "parsing said form to extract specifications of form elements contained in said form").

- Wang does not specifically teach creating a representation of form elements. However, this limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of Wang, because Wang teaches that a developer need only to write an input form and a stored procedure, said procedure invoked by an input stream (Wang column 2 lines 29-33; compare with claim 43 "creating a representation....extracted from the first form"), suggesting the representation of an invoked program to a user, and providing the advantage of an interface to create and submit necessary items.
- allowing a user to configure a set of actions for submission (Wang column 4 lines 43-50, 55-61; compare with claim 43 "obtaining configuration information from the first user").
- creation of a CGI module for obtaining specification for said set of actions (Wang column 1 lines 45-52; compare with claim 1 "obtaining specification for said set of actions from said user").
- customization of a CGI module adapted to a configuration structure of a stored procedure (Wang column 1 lines 44-45, column 4 lines 40-45; compare with claim 43 "configuring the first program'sby the first author.").

Art Unit: 2176

In regard to dependent claim 44, claim 44 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claim 43, and is rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 57-59, Wang teaches generating HTML web pages, as well as a CGI module parsing through an HTML form (Wang column 1 lines 10-20, column 4 lines 40-47; compare with claims 57-59).

In regard to independent claim 76, Wang teaches:

- receiving a form from a user (Wang column 4 lines 42-49; compare with claim 76 "receiving a form from a user").
- allowing a user to configure a set of actions for submission (Wang column 4 lines 43-50, 55-61; compare with claim 76 "allowing said user to configure a set of actions to be performed in processing a submission of said form, comprising:").
- parsing a form to extract form elements along with associated logic (Wang column 4 lines 54-67, column 5 lines 1-10; compare with claim 76 "parsing said form to extract specifications of form elements contained in said form").
- Wang does not specifically teach presenting to a user an interface for a user to input specification. However, this limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of Wang, because Wang teaches that a developer need only to write an input form and a stored procedure (Wang column 2 lines 29-33; compare with claim 76 "presenting said user with a user interface....processing said submission of said form"), suggesting the creation of said form and procedure by a user via an interface, and providing the advantage of an interface to create and submit necessary items.

Art Unit: 2176

- creation of a CGI module for obtaining specification for said set of actions (Wang column 1 lines 45-52; compare with claim 76 "obtaining specification for said set of actions from said user").

- customization of a CGI module adapted to a configuration structure of a stored procedure (Wang column 1 lines 44-45, column 4 lines 40-45; compare with claim 76 "generating a configuration structure....actions to be performed.").

In regard to dependent claims 77-80, Wang teaches generation of a CGI module customized to a stored procedure (extraction of logical elements), said module also customized to parse elements from an input form for the purpose of invoking said procedure (Wang column 3 lines 15-35, column 4 lines 38-67; compare with claims 77-80).

In regard to independent claim 89, Wang teaches:

- receiving a form from a user, and allowing a user to configure a set of actions for submission (Wang column 4 lines 43-50, 55-61; compare with claim 89 "allowing a first author.... of the first form, said allowing comprising:", and "receiving the first form at a first server").
- parsing a form to extract form elements along with associated logic (Wang column 4 lines 54-67, column 5 lines 1-10; compare with claim 89 "parsing said form to extract specifications of form elements included in the first form").
- Wang does not specifically teach creating a representation of form elements. However, this limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of Wang, because Wang teaches that a developer need only to write an input form and a stored procedure, said procedure invoked by an input stream (Wang column 2 lines 29-33; compare with claim 89 "creating a

Art Unit: 2176

representation...extracted from the first form"), suggesting the representation of an invoked program to a user, and providing the advantage of an interface to create and submit necessary items.

- creation of a CGI module for obtaining specification for said set of actions (Wang column 1 lines 45-52; compare with claim 89 "obtaining configuration information from the first author").
- customization of a CGI module adapted to a configuration structure of a stored procedure (Wang column 1 lines 44-45, column 4 lines 40-45; compare with claim 89 "configuring the first program's....by the first author.").
- 6. Claims 4-5, 7-35, 37-38, 45-57, 60-73, 81-88, 90-101 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al. (hereinafter Wang), U.S. Patent No. 5,875,332 issued February 1999, in view of Brandt et al. (hereinafter Brandt), U.S. Patent No. 5,892,905 issued April 1999.

In regard to dependent claim 4, Wang does not specifically teach Java applets. However, Brandt teaches Java applets (Brandt column 6 lines 8-10; compare with claim 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Brandt to Wang, because of Brandt's taught advantage of Java, providing Wang the advantage of a platform independent language.

In regard to dependent claim 5, Wang teaches HTML (Wang column 2 lines 29-31; compare with claim 5).

In regard to dependent claims 7-10, Wang does not specifically teach keeping track of changes, or timestamps. However, Brandt teaches keeping track of changes (Brandt column 16 lines 20-23). Brandt

Art Unit: 2176

teaches use of timestamps for synchronization (Brandt column 21 lines 60-63; compare with claims 7-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Brandt to Wang, because of Brandt's taught advantage of current tracking, so as to provide a user of Wang a way to keep changes current.

In regard to dependent claims 11-15, Wang teaches creation and customization of a CGI module, said module customized subsequent to changed submissions of input files and stored procedures (Wang column 2 lines 22-38; compare with claims 11-15).

In regard to dependent claim 16-18, claims 16-18 incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claims 13-15, and are rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 19, Wang teaches:

- receiving a form from a user (Wang column 4 lines 42-49; compare with claim 19 "receiving a first form created by a first author....one or more field attributes").
- parsing a form to extract form elements along with associated logic (Wang column 4 lines 54-67, column 5 lines 1-10; compare with claim 19 "parsing the first form to extract specification....with each input field").
- Wang does not specifically teach presenting to a user an interface for a user to input specification. However, this limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of Wang, because Wang teaches that a developer need only to write an input form and a stored procedure (Wang column 2 lines 29-33; compare with claim 19 "presenting to the first author a user

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/669,594

Art Unit: 2176

interface"), suggesting the creation of said form and procedure by a user via an interface, and providing the advantage of an interface to create and submit necessary items.

- Wang does not specifically teach an invoked procedure comprising a set of questions. However, Brandt teaches a template CGI processed user interface presented with rental options (Brandt column 23 lines 45-60; compare with claim 19 "a set of questions"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Brandt to Wang, because of Brandt's taught advantage of CGI processed interactive web pages, providing a user of Wang a way to create interactive applications.

- creation and customization of a CGI module adapted to a configuration structure of a stored procedure for obtaining specification for said set of actions, allowing a user to configure a set of actions for submission (Wang column 4 lines 43-50, 55-61, column 1 lines 45-52; compare with claim 19 "that are constructed based upon the extracted....submissions of the first form").

In regard to dependent claims 20-24, Wang teaches creation and submission of stored procedures and input streams utilizing forms (Wang column 2 lines 22-39; compare with claims 20-24).

In regard to dependent claims 25-27, claims 25-27 incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claims 6, 9, and 10, and are rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to independent claim 28, Wang teaches:

- receiving a form from a user, and parsing a form to extract form elements along with associated logic (Wang column 4 lines 54-67, column 5 lines 1-10 column 4 lines 42-49; compare with claim 19 "first programming logic to parse....attributes of the input fields").

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/669,594

Art Unit: 2176

- Wang does not specifically teach presenting to a user an interface for a user to input specification. However, this limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of Wang, because Wang teaches that a developer need only to write an input form and a stored procedure (Wang column 2 lines 29-33; compare with claim 28 "presenting to the first author a user interface"), suggesting the creation of said form and procedure by a user via an interface, and providing the advantage of an interface to create and submit necessary items.

- Wang does not specifically teach an invoked procedure comprising a set of questions. However, Brandt teaches a template CGI processed user interface presented with rental options (Brandt column 23 lines 45-60; compare with claim 28 "a set of questions"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Brandt to Wang, because of Brandt's taught advantage of CGI processed interactive web pages, providing a user of Wang a way to create interactive applications.

- creation and customization of a CGI module adapted to a configuration structure of a stored procedure for obtaining specification for said set of actions, allowing a user to configure a set of actions for submission (Wang column 4 lines 43-50, 55-61, column 1 lines 45-52; compare with claim 28 "configuration information with respect to....submission of the first form.").

In regard to dependent claims 29-31, Wang teaches a configuration structure parsed via CGI, and performed actions (Wang column 1 lines 45-55; compare with claims 29-31).

In regard to dependent claims 32-35, Wang teaches CGI for processing submissions of forms. teaches consistency by returning results consistent with a user's submitted request (Wang Abstract). Wang

Art Unit: 2176

teaches a customized CGI module consistent with a stored procedure and an input stream (Wang column 2 lines 29-38; compare with claims 32-35).

In regard to dependent claims 37-38, Wang does not specifically teach an invoked procedure comprising a set of questions. However, Brandt teaches a template CGI processed user interface presented with rental options (Brandt column 23 lines 45-60; compare with claims 37-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Brandt to Wang, because of Brandt's taught advantage of CGI processed interactive web pages, providing a user of Wang a way to create interactive applications.

In regard to dependent claims 45-56, Wang does not specifically teach form validation, quantity generation, licensing, cookies, or email. However, Brandt teaches password validation, multiple applications, licensing, cookie generation, and email (Brandt column 6 lines 10-14, column 23 lines 45-54, column 24 lines 30-36; compare with claims 45-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Brandt to Wang, because of Brandt's taught advantage of passwords, email, and licensing, providing a user of Wang a way to incorporate popular elements of the Internet.

In regard to dependent claims 60-73, claims 60-73 incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claims 43, 45-56, and are rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 74-75, Wang does not specifically teach email. However, Brandt teaches email (Brandt column 6 lines 8-13; compare with claims 74-75). It would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2176

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Brandt to Wang, because of Brandt's taught advantage of email, providing a user of Wang a way to incorporate popular elements of the Internet.

In regard to independent claim 81, Wang teaches:

- receiving a form from a user (Wang column 4 lines 42-49; compare with claim 81 "receiving a first form created by a first author....one or more field attributes").
- parsing a form to extract form elements along with associated logic (Wang column 4 lines 54-67, column 5 lines 1-10; compare with claim 81 "parsing the first form to extract specification....with each input field").
- Wang does not specifically teach presenting to a user an interface for a user to input specification. However, this limitation would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, in view of Wang, because Wang teaches that a developer need only to write an input form and a stored procedure (Wang column 2 lines 29-33; compare with claim 81 "presenting to the first author a user interface"), suggesting the creation of said form and procedure by a user via an interface, and providing the advantage of an interface to create and submit necessary items.
- Wang does not specifically teach an invoked procedure comprising a set of questions. However, Brandt teaches a template CGI processed user interface presented with rental options (Brandt column 23 lines 45-60; compare with claim 81 "a set of questions"). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Brandt to Wang, because of Brandt's taught advantage of CGI processed interactive web pages, providing a user of Wang a way to create interactive applications.
- creation and customization of a CGI module adapted to a configuration structure of a stored procedure for obtaining specification for said set of actions, allowing a user to configure a set of actions for

Art Unit: 2176

submission (Wang column 4 lines 43-50, 55-61, column 1 lines 45-52; compare with claim 81 "allowing the first author to specify one or more....submissions of the first form").

In regard to dependent claims 82-88, claims 82-88 incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claims 20-27, and are rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 90-101, claims 90-101 incorporate substantially similar subject matter as claimed in claims 45-56, and are rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

7. Prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to disclosure.

Glaser	U.S. Patent No. 5,953,731	issued	9/1999
Arora et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,845,299	issued	12/1998
House et al.	U.S. Patent No. 6,188,400	issued	2/2001
Ferguson et al.		issued	10/1998
Glaser et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,956,036	issued	9/1999
Amstein et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,793,966	issued	8/1998
House et al.	U.S. Patent No. 6,212,673	issued	4/2001

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Bashore whose telephone number is (703) 308-5807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax number to this art unit is (703) 308-6606.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2176

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 305-9724 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

William L. Bashore 7/10/2001

STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER